

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,017	11/24/2003	Shuji Fujii	PRON: 002	9160
27890 7	27890 7590 10/05/2005		EXAMINER	
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			LEE, JINHEE J	
	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/719,017	FUJII, SHUJI
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jinhee J. Lee	2831
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a Cause the application to become ABANDONS	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			· · ·
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Aa</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>4-6 and 8-12</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>4-6 and 8-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Second is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) <u></u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment	• •	•	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation of that insulator is connected "permanently" to a plate member is not disclosed in the original specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 4-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoyama et al. (US006222134B1).

Re claim 4, Aoyama et al. discloses a polymer insulator apparatus comprising a rigidly and unrotatably connected rectangular structure comprising plural polymer post insulators (2), a supporting structure (unnumbered post, see column 5 lines 11-14

Application/Control Number: 10/719,017

Art Unit: 2831

according to the numbering in the middle) and a plate member (12, tying member, see column 5 lines 53-57), wherein a first end of each polymer post insulator is rigidly and unrotatably connected to said supporting structure, and a second end of each said polymer post insulator is rigidly and unrotatably connected to said plate member, (see figure 1B).

Re claim 5, Aoyama et al. discloses a method for mounting plural polymer post insulators on a supporting structure, comprising: providing a supporting structure (unnumbered) and plural polymer post insulators (2); rigidly and unrotatably connecting a first end of each said plural polymer post insulator to the supporting structure; and rigidly and unrotatably connecting a second end of each said plural polymer post insulator whereby said plural polymer post insulators are parallel to each other and normal to the supporting structure, thereby forming a rigidly and unrotatably connected rectangular structure (see figure 1B). Note that it has been held that the functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and accordingly cannot serve to distinguish. *In re Mason*, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957).

Re claim 6 (as best understood), Aoyama et al. discloses a method wherein said first end of each said polymer post insulator is connected to said supporting structure by a first rigid body (5 for example) comprising a part of said polymer post insulator, and said second end of each said polymer post insulator is connected permanently (nailed, see column 5 lines 56-58) to a plate member by a second rigid body (unnumbered, top part of 2 for example) comprising a part of said polymer post insulator (see figure 1B).

Re claim 8, Aoyama et al. discloses a method wherein when an axial direction along a length of each said plural polymer post insulator is substantially a horizontal direction and an axial direction along a length of said supporting structure is substantially a vertical direction, then said plural polymer post insulators are for supporting a weight of a load acting in the vertical direction (see figures 1B and 2).

Re claim 9, Aoyama et al. discloses a polymer insulator apparatus wherein said supporting structure is configured for operating with an electric power transmission line (see column 1 lines 6-9).

Re claim 10, Aoyama et al. discloses a method wherein said supporting structure is configured for operating with an electric power transmission line (see column 1 lines 6-9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyama et al. in view of Austin (US001863080).

Re claim 11, Aoyama et al. substantially discloses a polymer insulator apparatus comprising a rigidly and unrotatably connected rectangular structure comprising plural polymer post insulators (30 and 18), a supporting structure (20) and a plate member (non-moving part below the moving part of switch blade 24), wherein a first end of each

polymer post insulator is rigidly and unrotatably connected to said supporting structure, and a second end of each said polymer post insulators is rigidly and unrotatably connected to said plate member. Aoyama et al. does not explicitly disclose wherein said supporting structure is selected from the group consisting of a steel pole, a wood pole or a steel tower. However, Austin teaches of supporting structure selected from the group consisting of a steel pole, a wood pole or a steel tower (see figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use supporting structure selected from the group consisting of a steel pole, a wood pole or a steel tower of Austin with the insulators of Aoyama et al. in order to provide the supporting structure for the insulators.

Re claim 12, Aoyama et al. substantially discloses a method for mounting plural polymer post insulators on a supporting structure, comprising: providing a supporting structure (20) and plural polymer post insulators (18, 30); rigidly and unrotatably connecting a first end of each said plural polymer post insulator to the supporting structure; and rigidly and unrotatably connecting a second end of each said plural polymer post insulator whereby said plural polymer post insulators are parallel to each other and normal to the supporting structure, thereby forming a rigidly and unrotatably connected rectangular structure. Aoyama et al. does not explicitly disclose wherein said supporting structure is selected from the group consisting of a steel pole, a wood pole or a steel tower. However, Austin teaches of supporting structure selected from the group consisting of a steel pole, a wood pole or a steel tower (see figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to use supporting structure selected from the group consisting of a steel pole, a wood pole or a steel tower of Austin with the insulators of Aoyama et al. in order to provide the supporting structure for the insulators. Note that it has been held that the functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and accordingly cannot serve to distinguish. *In re Mason*, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-6, 8-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinhee J Lee Patent Examiner Art Upit 2831 Page 7.

jjl